# WRKY transcription factor super family: Role in plant disease management

Jyotsna Bharti<sup>1#</sup>, Sahil Mehta<sup>1#</sup> and Satyakam Guha<sup>2\*</sup>

#### Abstract

Plants face numerous challenges in their natural habitat by various biotic stresses, which in turn trigger their stress-response related machinery. This is achieved by activation or repression of a complex system consisting of key genes coding for miRNAs, siRNAs, and most importantly transcriptional factors (TFs). Among transcriptional factors (TFs), a superfamily of WRKY TFs is considered most important as they regulate maximum number of downstream genes as well as proteins, by both direct (auto and cross-regulation) and indirect mechanisms (physical interactions within themselves or other TFs, proteins and small RNAs) reported hitherto. All the WRKY TF members possess a conserved WRKY domain consisting of nearly 60 amino acids with a specific heptapeptide sequence (WRKYGQK) with a Zfr-finger motif that binds to a specific cis-regulatory element of a defense gene called as W-box (TTGAC[C/T]). This W-box has been reported to be present in the promoter region of genes related to plants' innate immunity including PAMP triggered immunity (PTI), effectors triggered immunity (ETI), basal defense and systemic acquired resistance (SAR). As a result of this specific molecular orchestration primarily in plant immunity, this superfamily has established as a good target in plant disease management. Therefore, in the present review, the focus will be on highlighting the application of the WRKY TFs and plant disease management specifically.

Keywords: W-box, Pseudomonas, Classification, Botrytiscinerea, Virus.

### 1. Introduction

Due to the sedentary life and changing climatic conditions, plants have to face various environmental stresses on a regular basis. Among all the stresses, biotic stresses incited by plant pathogens especially affect the growth of various food crops such as rice, barley, sugarcane, lentil, fava bean, chickpea and many more (APS 2020). Upon being challenged by phytopathogens, the plants must change their growth as well as Défense pattern which lead to modification of host-pathogen interaction (Madhusudan *et al.* 2019). Due to continuous changes in climatic conditions, new virulent races or pathovars develop, that can further infect the crops which were resistant to pathogens previously (APS 2020). Therefore, in order to combat these new entities, there is a pressure to enhance the current, as well as to develop new management strategies for phytopathogens.

To improve the strategies, special focus is also given in understanding changes occurring at the genic, transcriptional, protein, metabolic as well as the cellular levels (Chen *et al.* 2019). The converging point of all these changes can be traced back to the transcriptional regulation controlled by transcription factors (TFs). The various TFs related to stress-responsive genes are WRKY "worky", AP2/ ERF, bZIP, MYC, MYB, MADS, NAM, ATAF, CUC and NAC (Chen *et al.* 2019). Each TF-consist of a specific polypeptide binding domain which binds to a sequence/stretch of DNA bases together known as *cis*-regulatory

- 1. International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi, Delhi-110067, India.
- Department of Botany, Hansraj College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India.
   \*Corresponding author: Satyakam Guha. Department of Botany, Hansraj College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India. email: satyakamg173@gmail.com
   #Equal Contribution

elements (CREs) in response to specific stress (Mittal *et al.* 2018).

Among all of the TFs reported till date, WRKY TF superfamily is of great importance as they are reported to be involved in a diverse range of plant development, defence (**Figure 1**), metabolism, senescence, wounding, stress response and much more (Chen *et al.* 2019). The WRKY TF superfamily members interact among themselves or with other key TFs, proteins, and small RNAs to regulate the target genes (Chen *et al.* 2019). Our objective in this article is mainly to discuss the WRKYTFs modulation in complex defence gene network, which is a key step for signal transduction pathways related to plant immunity. We have summarised in present article, the structure and classification of WRKY as well as downstream regulation or interaction with same or other TFs, proteins and small RNAs to regulate the defence gene for various plant pathogens such as fungi, bacteria, and viruses.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the WRKY TFs imparted protection in plants against all biological and non-biological stresses.

**Sources:** Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.co.in/), PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) and Web of Science (https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/solutions/web-of-science/) till 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2020.

### 2. WRKY TFs: structure

A specific and conserved DNA-binding domain is the distinguishing character of WRKY TFs which is partly protruding region of almost 60 amino acids in plants (Chen et al. 2019). In this region, there is an almost invariable sequence at N terminal of protein, WRKYGQK (W; Tryptophan, R; Arginine, K; Lysine, Y; Tyrosine, G; Glycine Q; Glutamine, K; Lysine). WRKY TFs generally binds to a considerably conserved region of DNA identified as the W-box elements having the conserved motif TGACC/T singly or in tandem repeats situated in the promoter region of defense genes (Chen et al. 2019). Recently, the WRKY domain structure with W-box as a binding site was identified, and structurally four-stranded  $\beta$ sheet enters the major grove of DNA in an atypical mode termed the  $\beta$ -wedge, where the sheet is nearly perpendicular to the helical axis of the DNA (Yamasaki et al. 2012). Whereas, C-terminal of the protein consist of zinc-finger-like motif Cx4.5Cx2223HxH or Cx<sub>7</sub>Cx<sub>23</sub>HXC formation (Li et al., 2015). Tryptophan

(Y) makes the core of the conserved (WRKYGQK) sequence, whereas rest of the amino acids binds to DNA. Glycine (G) helps in the formation of protrusion to make the grove for binding to W box by hydrophobic interaction with the methyl groups of thymine nitrogenous bases of the DNA. Mutation in the thymine base or Zn<sup>2+</sup>-binding site drastically reduced the DNA-binding activity due to the destruction in the active structure of DNA binding domain protein (Yamasaki*et al.* 2013). Only in very few crops like Arabidopsis (WRIY), soybean (WHQY), potato (WHKC and WRKC), black cottonwood (FRKY), tomato (WRKR, WIKY, WSKY, and WQKY) and French bean (ARKM, WWKN, and WRMY), WRKY proteins have been reported with changes in the conserved sequence of WRKY (Mohanta et al. 2016).

### 3. Classification of WRKY TFs

In the initial days of researchInitially, WRKY TF was identified for the regulation of sporamin and  $\beta$ -amylase production from sweet potato as SPF1

(Ishiguro and Nakamura 1994). However, later, Rushton and group identified three different WRKY TFs (WRKY1, WRKY2 and WRKY3) from parsley in a stress response against elicitor Pep25 of Phytophthora parasitica and given the name of "worky" in 1996 (Rushton et al. 1996). This opened a way for identification of stress responsive WRKY TFs which has resulted now in a superfamily. As a result, based on the total number of WRKY domains and presence/absence of Zn<sup>2+</sup>-finger-like motif, WRKY proteins are classified into three groups *i.e.*, Group I, Group II and Group III (Chenet al. 2019). The group I members consist of two WRKY domains, whereas Group II members have one WRKY domain along with Cys2-His2-type of Zn2+-finger motif. Group II members are further divided into five subgroups IIa to IIe based on the presence of additional amino acid motifs in the WRKY domain. Group III members also contain one WRKY domain with Cys2-His/Cysor Cys2-His2 type of zinc-finger motif (Eulgem et al. 2000). The criterion of this classification method is exclusively based on protein structure; however, this classification does not include evolution, origin, and duplications of a gene for WRKY TFs. So, in the year 2005, Zhang and Wang (2005) again reclassified WRKY TFs into five groups *i.e.*, group 1, group 2a +2b, groups 2c, group 2d + 2e and group 3, based on phylogenetic analysis, domains conservation and intron position in WRKY domain. WRKY TFs were again classified into two groups where Group 1 includes R-type of the intron in the WRKY domain whereas Group 2 members include V-type of an intron (Zhang and Wang 2005).

## 4. Role of host plant WRKY against viral diseases

As described earlier, the WRKY TFs are one of highly studied regulatory protein family which play both positive and negative roles in plant immunity (Chen et al. 2019). One of the major challenges to plant immunity is the onset of viral diseases. There are already many reports in the literature by various research groups for the bolstering support of the role of WRKY TFs against plant viruses. Out of all published articles, the first preliminary report was by Yoda et al. (2002). In their pioneer work, they screened a set of defense reaction genes up-regulated during the hypersensitive response (HR) in wild tobacco (Cv. Xanthinc) upon Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) infection using fluorescent differential display (Yoda et al. 2002). The full-length deduced TIZZ protein contained a single WRKY domain which

showed high similarity to one of WRKY family member namely WIZZ. Their results indicated the presence of a novel type of WRKY protein(s) that might play a critical role in HR signal activation.

Dardick et al. (2007) observed significant changes in gene expression concomitant withPlum pox poty virus (PPV), Tomato ringspot virus (ToRSV) and Prunus necrotic ring spot ilar virus (PNRSV) symptoms in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. The number of pathogens associated are? identified including WRKY transcription factors, which were consistent with the severity of the observed symptoms in all the three viruses (Dardick et al.2007). In a similar study, McGregor, and colleagues(McGregor et al. 2009), focused on one of the most devastating Ipomoea batatas disease namely sweet potato virus disease. They used a similar global gene expression approach in two different sweet potato cultivars NASPOT1 (resistant) and Beauregard (susceptible), and found that cell expansion genes, as well as chloroplastic genes, were suppressed while stress-related and various transcription family genes (WRKY, homeodomain proteins, and NAC-like proteins) were induced highly. After virus infection, the protein synthesis-related genes induction was in co-relation with virus accumulation in susceptible plants. This switch in the expression of all these specific hostencoded genes was established as a reason to cause developmental defects in susceptible plants (McGregor et al. 2009).

Using Bean pod mottle virus-based VIGS technology, the role of WRKY6, as well as WRKY30 in Rsv1-mediated resistance was elucidated in soybean (Zhang et al. 2012). Similarly, the over expression of cotton GhWRKY 15 and Gh WRKY11 in transgenic tobacco plants activated the expression of several PR, POD, and APX genes, therefore, triggering systemic acquired resistance (SAR) to protect the plant against viral pathogens such as *TMV* and *cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV) as compared with the wild type (Yu et al. 2012). Inoculation of *Rice tungro spherical virus* (RTSV) on susceptible rice (Cv. TN1) changed the transcripts levels of multiple stress-related genes including multiple members of the WRKY gene family (OsWRKY1, OsWRKY5, OsWRKY9, OsWRKY28, OsWRKY29 and OsWRKY45) (Satoh *et al.* 2013).

The role of six tomato WRKYs (WRKY41, WRKY42, WRKY53, WRKY54, WRKY 80 and

WRKY81) in tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) infection were elucidated using subcellular localization analysis, interaction network analysis and TRV-VIGS by Huang *et al.*(2016). Time-course analysis of the effect of *Ugandan cassava brown streak virus* grafting on resistant and susceptible cassava varieties transcriptome revealed the upregulation of differentially expressed-defence genes response genes including LRR-containing, NBARC-containing, PR, LEA, WRKY, GATA, NAC and HSPs (Amuge *et al.* 2017).

Madronero et al. (2018) adopted the global gene expression analysis approach on *Papaya meleira* virus complex induced changes in infected papaya at pre-and post-flowering stages. They reported that at the pre-flowering stage, a total of 633 DEGs was observed including multiple SA-, ethylene (ET)pathway genes, PR genes, ROS genes, and WRKY TF encoding genes (Madronero et al. 2018). Recently, the combinatorial effect of low light intensity/shading and Soybean mosaic virus on the transcriptome level of soybean plants was assessed (Zhang et al. 2019). Among all the 24 DEGs related to plant-pathogen interaction, a total of two WRKY genes (WRKY33 and WRKY62) were differentially expressed under both light conditions. More recently, Kumar and Dasgupta (2020) studied the effect of infection by both rice tungro viruses (*Rice tungro* bacilliform virus and RTSV) at the rice transcriptomic landscape was deduced by using global gene expression changes using Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform followed by qRT-PCR. About 959 DEGs were related to stress-responsive pathways and hormonal homeostasis. Among all DEGs, the reported WRKY transcription factors were LOC Os05g25770, LOC Os08g38990, LOC Os09g25060, and LOC Os11g02520 (Kumar and Dasgupta 2020). By summarising all these studies by various authors, we can conclude that the WRKY TFs regulate host defence against viruses at various levels directly or indirectly.

### 5. Role of host plant WRKY against bacterial diseases

Unlike viruses, the bacteria grow in the spaces between plant cells and cause multiple symptoms including cankers, wilts, soft rots, blights, scabs, galls and leaf spots (APS 2020). Dellagi *et al.* (2000) were the first to report the elicitor-induced nature of WRKYs in response to bacteria where they have isolated an upregulated potato *St* WRKY1 protein using the SSH technique upon inoculation of Erwiniacarotovora subsp. atroseptica culture filtrate (Dellagi et al. 2000). Another evidence of the involvement of WRKY against bacterial diseases in plants was forwarded by Robatzek and Somssich (2002), studied the targets of senescence- and defence-associated AtWRKY6 factors. Their study revealed the WRKY6 negative regulation on its promoter activity as well as promoters of AtPR1, AtSIRK and other closely related WRKY family members (Robatzek and Somssich 2002). A WRKY gene 'CaWRKY' was isolated by using a domainspecific differential display procedure, during the infection of Xanthomonas campestrispy. vesicatoria (Park et al. 2006). Dang et al. (2013) clarified the role of pepper CaWRKY40 in imparting resistance against R. solanacearum infection and reported that the overexpression of CaWRKY27 enhanced the resistance of tobacco transgenic plants to Ralstonia solanacearum (Dang et al. 2014).

A positive role of OsWRKY51 and Os WRKY67 in defence against X. oryzae pv. Oryzae was established using overexpression study (Hwang et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2018). By performing temporal transcript profiling, Nemchinov et al. (2017) selected and inoculated bacterial stem blight-resistant and susceptible alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) plants. Their analysis revealed that there were plenty of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in two contrasting genotypes at the molecular level. The reason for resistance appeared to be mediated primarily by 20 WRKY family transcription factors and other function-related genes (Nemchinovet al. 2017).

Recently, the constitutive overexpression of wild grapevine VdWRKY53 in Arabidopsis resulted in multi-fold enhancement in resistance to multiple pathogens including *P. syringae* pv. tomato (DC3000) (Zhang et al. 2019). Gao et al. (2020), characterized the role of SlWRKY8 in the resistance to P. syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) along with other abiotic stresses. The constitutive over expression in the tomato plants (Cv. Ailsa Craig) resulted in increased resistance to Pst DC3000 by enhancing expression levels of PR genes namely SlPR1a as well as SlPR7. Overall, their report suggested the role of SIWRKY8 in plant immunity against bacterial pathogen and other prominent abiotic stresses (Gao et al. 2020). Thus, from the above reports by various authors clearly indicates the role of *WRKY* transcription factor superfamily against bacterial diseases in plants. Though enough evidence

is available about the role of WRKY defense against bacterial diseases in plants, but the exact mechanism of signal transduction is not yet clear.

### 6. Role of host plant WRKY against fungal diseases

Another major challenge to plant immunity apart from bacteria and viruses is the fungal diseases (APS 2020). There are many reports on the role of WRKY against fungal diseases in plants, out of which, the first preliminary report by Rushton et al. (1996) needs a special mention, as they used both gain and loss of function experiments in parsley (Petroselinum crispum) and identified the presence of WRKY1, WRKY2 and WRKY3 TFs binding W-box in the promoters of PR1-1 and PR1-2 genes (Rushton et al. 1996). Furthermore, they confirmed the Pep25 elicitor treatment in parsley cells induced a rapid increase in the mRNA levels of only WRKY1 and WRKY3. Their work suggested that WRKY TFs might play a role in the signal transduction pathway (Rushtonet al. 1996).

Using suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH), a putative StWRKY1 protein-encoding gene was identified in potato after inoculation of *P. infestans* as well as *E. carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* filtrate (Dellagi *et al.* 2000). Ryu *et al.* (2006) confirmed the changes in the expression level of a total of 15 host WRKY genes upon inoculation of *M. grisea* (Philippines isolate PO6-6). Their extensive profiling analysis work revealed that the transcript levels of *Os* WRKY7, *Os* WRKY10, *Os* WRKY11, *Os* WRKY30, *Os* WRKY45, *Os* WRKY62, *Os* WRKY76, *Os* WRKY82, and *Os* WRKY85 were significantly increased by 6-48 hours.

The role of the so-called SA activator namely

benzothiadiazole is well depicted in the literature. Using the group of techniques like microarray screening, RNAi and transient over-expression system, the role of BTH-inducible WRKY45 was identified in providing resistance against rice blast disease (Shimono et al. 2007). The constitutive overexpression of rice blast-induced OsWRKY31 in Japanese rice cultivar namely Zhonghua 17 leads to enhanced shoot length, root length and resistance against two blast fungus strain P131 and MS220 of M. orvzae (Zhang et al. 2008). Yang et al. (2009) studied the expression of a total of 46 WRKY TFs encoding genes in the canola infected with two devastating fungal pathogens namely Sclerotinia sclerotiorum and Alternaria brassicae using quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR). Their study revealed that about 13 BnWRKYs transcript abundance changed significantly following the fungal challenge (Yang et al. 2009). Overexpression of nuclear-localized OsWRKY30 gene in rice plants depicted the enhanced Rhizoctonia solani and M. grisea resistance. This occurred due to the activated expression of JA- and PR- synthesis-related genes (Peng et al. 2012). The similar role of OsWRKY76 (Yokotaniet al. 2013), BoWRKY6 (Jiang et al. 2016), TaWRKY49 (Wang et al. 2017), VIWRKY48 (Zhao et al. 2018), GmWRKY31 (Xiong et al. 2019) and TaWRKY142 (Kuki et al. 2020) using gain-of-function and loss-of-function studies were identified. From the above survey of literature we can gain an idea about the identification of various genes associated with WRKY mediated defence in plants against fungal growth and the flowchart is depicted in the Figure 2.



Figure 2.A generalized model depicting the role of WRKY genes in cellular defense signalling against fungal pathogens.

Under fungal stress, WRKY TFs are regulated by various upstream receptors (RLKs, G-protein) and regulators and impart resistance by controlling expression of defence-related genes as well as PR proteins.

### 7. Conclusion

Under natural conditions, the plant faces multiple biological and non-biological stresses which creates a complex agronomical environment and corroborates the plant's ability to develop, grow, and reproduce. Within this, biotic stresses are considered as the foremost limiter. The most important aspect is that the major effect is reflected on agriculturally important crop plants *i.e.* the major pillar of food security. As per the data, a 16% share of total yield loss is caused by the microbes annually. Within this, a hefty loss of more than 70% arises due to unavoidable fungal infections. The establishment of proper loss assessment as well as research in this field is important for the process of crop improvement. In this regard, many researchers through their efforts have identified key genes, factors, small RNAs, and epigenetic modifications that control the resistance mechanism. One such key regulator is a superfamily

Table 1. Case studies showing use of transgenic approach (over-expression or downregulation) to modify or manipulate the expression of WRKY TFs for plant defense against microbial diseases.

S.	Plant species	Cultivar/	Pathogen	WRKY name	Effect	References
No	(Acceptor)	Line		and source		
(12)						
1	Gossypiumhirsut	Lumian 22	Botrytis cinerea	GhWRKY25	Enhanced sensitivity to pathogen by	Liu et al. 2016
	um I.			(Cotton)	reduction in expression levels of SA	
	aa 2.			(control)	or ET signaling related games	
	51				or E1 signaling related genes	
2	Brassica	Boll2	Hyaloperonosporaparasitica	BoWRKY6	Increased resistance with enhanced	Jiang <i>et al.</i> 2016
	oleracea var.			(Broccoli)	PR llevels	
	italica L.					
3	Nicotianatabacu	Xanthi	Botrosphaeriadothidea, Gibberellam	JsWRKY1	Enhanced expression of several	Wang <i>et al.</i>
	<u>m</u> L.		oniliformis,Colleotrichumgloeospori	(Iron walnut)	defense-related genes (SOD, APX,	2016
			oides, Fusariumoxysporum		POD and PR1)	
4	Solanumtuberosu	E-potato 3	Phytophthorainfestans	StWRKY1	Elevated resistance due to	Shahzadet al.
	mL.			(Potato)	upregulation of PR genes	2016
5	Populustrichocar	Clone 741	Melampsora sp.	PtrWRKY18,	Elevated resistance due to	Jiang et al. 2017
	<u>pa</u> Torr. & A.			PtrWRKY35	upregulation of	
	Gray,			(Poplar)	PR1.1, PR1.4 and PR5.1	
	Populustomentos					
	a Carr.					
6	Arabidopsis	Columbia-0	B.cinerea. Pseudomonas	VaWRKY5	Overexpressed lines showed	Wang et al.
	thaliana I		Suringae by towate DC3000	(Wild grape)	enhanced resistance to the biotrophs	2017
	manana L.		C. J	(wild grape)	1	2017
			Golovinomycescicnoracearum		oniy	
7	A. thaliana L.	Columbia-0	G. cichoracearum, B. cinerea	VIWRKY3	Increased susceptibility to B.cinerea	Guoet al. 2018
				(Grape)	and decreased susceptibility to $G$ .	
					cichoracearum	
8	Brassica napusL.	Westar	Sclerotiniasclerotiorum	BnWRKY15,	Overexpression lead to modulated	Liu et al. 2018
				BnWRKY33	expression of both $\mathrm{SA}\square$ and $\mathrm{JA}\text{-}$	
				(Oilseed rape)	regulated genes	
9	Oryzasativa L.	Nipponbare,	Magnaportheoryzae Xanthomonasor	OsWRKY67	Overexpression lines showed	Liu et al. 2018

<sup>39 |</sup> हंस शोध सुधा | HANS SHODH SUDHA

		BC10	yzaepv. oryzae	(Rice)	quantitatively enhanced resistance to	
					leaf blast, panicle blast and bacterial	
					blight. OsWRKY67-silencedlines	
					showed increased susceptibility to	
					blast and bacterial blight diseases	
10	G himmetran	Vintures 7	Vauticillium dabliza	CLWPVV70	Silming of GhWPKV70 in grouped	Vienget
10	G. nirsutumL.	71 1.	V eriicuitumaamide	GAWREN //	Shencing of Grim KK170 increased	Alonger al.
		Zhongzhimi		(Cotton)	the resistance whereas	2019
		an 2			GhWRKY70overexpression lines	
					showed reduction in tolerance	
11	Arabidopsis	At4, Aa,	Pseudomonas syringae	WRKY18,	Overexpression lines showed	Abeysingheet
	suecicaL.	Allo733		WRKY40	upregulation of several SA	al. 2019
				(Thalecress)	pathway[]related genes	
12	G.hirsutumL.	Williams 82	Phytophthorasojae	GmWRKY40	Overexpressionhairy root lines	Cui et al. 2019
				(Cotton)	showed enhanced resistance whereas	
					silencing lines showed enhanced	
					susceptibility along with ROS	
ĺ					accumulation	
13	Cucumissativus	<u>XintaiMiCi</u>	Pseudoperonosporacubensis	CsWRKY50	Overexpression lines showed	Luan et al. 2019
13	<u>Cucumissativus</u> L.	XintaiMiCi	Pseudoperonosporacubensis	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber)	Overexpression lines showed enhanced resistance with less ROS	Luan <i>et al.</i> 2019
13	<u>Cucumissativus</u> L.	XintaiMiCi	Pseudoperonosporacubensis	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber)	Overexpression lines showed enhanced resistance with less ROS accumulationand higher expression	Luan et al. 2019
13	Cucumissativus L.	XintaiMiCi	Pseudoperonosporacubensis	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber)	Overexpression lines showed enhanced resistance with less ROS accumulationand higher expression levels of antioxidant enzymes.	Luan <i>et al</i> . 2019
13 14	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0,	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) ArWRKY30(Tha	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         with         less         ROS           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels of antioxidant         enzymes.           Overexpression         lines         showed	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019
13	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) ArWRKY30(Tha lecress)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         with         less         ROS           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels of antioxidant         enzymes.           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance         whereas	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019
13	<u>Cucumissativus</u> L. <u>A. thaliana</u> L.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, <i>wrky30</i> muta nt	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30(Tha lecress)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulation and         higher         expression           levels of antioxidant enzymes.         Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         whereas         silencing         lines         showed         enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019
13	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky:30muta nt	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AfWRKY30(Tha lecress)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         with         less         ROS           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels of antioxidant         enzymes.           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed         enhanced         susceptibility	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019
13 14 15	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta nt Ailsa Craig	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus Psyringae py. tomato DC3000	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30(Tha lecress) SIWRKY8	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         with         less         ROS           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels of antioxidant         enzymes.           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed         enhanced         susceptibility           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaoget al. 2020
13 14 15	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta nt Ailsa Craig	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus <u>Psyringae py</u> tomato DC3000	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) ArWRKY30(Tha lecress) SIWRKY8 (Tomato)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         with         less         ROS           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels         levels         of           levels         of         antioxidant         enzymes.         overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         whereas         silencing         lines         showed           susceptibility         overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced           enhanced         resistance         to         the pathogen         the pathogen	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaoet al. 2020
13 14 15	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta nt Ailsa Craig	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus <u>Psyringae py</u> tomato DC3000	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30( <u>Tha</u> <u>lecress</u> ) SIWRKY8 (Tomato)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand         higher expression           levels of antioxidant enzymes.         Overexpression         lines         showed           overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed         enhanced         susceptibility         Overexpression         lines         showed           overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         showed         enhanced           wich enhanced         resistance         to the pathogen         with enhanced         PR/levels	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaoet al. 2020
13 14 15 16	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L. S.tuberosumL.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky:30muta nt Ailsa Craig E-potato 3	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus P.svringae py. tomato DC3000 P.infestans	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) ArWRKY30(Tha lecress) S/WRKY8 (Tomato) StWRKY2	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand         higher         expression           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels of antioxidant enzymes.           Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed           susceptibility         Overexpression         lines           Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance to the pathogen           with enhanced         PR/laul and         PR/levels           Overexpression         lead         to         enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaogt al. 2020 Shahzadet al.
13 14 15 16	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L. S.tuberosumL.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta nt Ailsa Craig E-potato 3	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus Psyringae py. tomato DC3000 P.infestans	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30( <u>Tha</u> <u>lecress</u> ) SIWRKY8 (Tomato) StWRKY2 (Potato)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand         higher expression           levels of antioxidant enzymes.         Overexpression         lines         showed           overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed         enhanced         susceptibility           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance to the pathogen           with enhanced PRIal and PR/levels         Overexpression         lead         to         enhanced           resistance         in the potato         through the         ensistance         enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gracet al. 2020 Shahzadet al. 2020
13 14 15 16	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L. S.tuberosumL.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky:30muta nt Ailsa Craig E-potato 3	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus Psyringae py. tomato DC3000 P.infestans	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) ArWRKY30(Tha lecress) S/WRKY8 (Tomato) StWRKY2 (Potato)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand         higher         expression           accumulationand         higher         expression         levels of antioxidant enzymes.           Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed           susceptibility         Overexpression         lines           Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced         resistance         to the pathogen           with enhanced         PR1a1 and         PR7levels           Overexpression         lead         to enhanced           resistance         in the potato         through the           induction of PR proteins         through the	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaogt al. 2020 Shahzadet al. 2020
13 14 15 16	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L. S.tuberosumL. Paeonialactiflor	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta nt Ailsa Craig E-potato 3 Da Eugui	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus P.svringae py. tomato DC3000 P.infestans Alternariatenuissima	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30( <u>Tha</u> <u>lectess</u> ) S/WRKY8 (Tomato) StWRKY2 (Potato) P/WRKY65	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand         higher         expression           levels of antioxidant enzymes.         Overexpression         lines         showed           overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced           enhanced         resistance         whereas         silencing         lines         showed           overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         susceptibility         overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance to the pathogen         with enhanced PR1a1 and PR7levels         overexpression         lead         to         enhanced           resistance in the potato through the         induction of PR proteins         Silencing         lines         showed         enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaoet al. 2020 Shahzadet al. 2020 Wang et al.
13 14 15 16	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L. S.tuberosumL. Paeonialactiflor aPall.	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky:30muta nt Ailsa Craig E-potato 3 Da Fugui	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus P.svringae py. tomato DC3000 P.infestans Alternariatenuissima	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30(Tha lecress) SIWRKY8 (Tomato) StWRKY2 (Potato) PIWRKY65 (Chinese peonv)	Overexpression         lines         showed           enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand         higher expression           levels of antioxidant enzymes.         Overexpression         lines         showed           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance         whereas           silencing         lines         showed         enhanced         susceptibility           Overexpression         lines         showed         enhanced         resistance to the pathogen           with enhanced PRIal and PR/levels         Overexpression         lead         to enhanced           resistance         in the potato through the         induction of PR proteins         Silencing         lines         showed         enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaoget al. 2020 Wang et al. 2020
13 14 15 16	Cucumissativus L. A. thaliana L. Solanumlycopers icum L. S.tuberosumL. Paeonialactiflor aPall	XintaiMiCi Columbia-0, wrky30muta nt Ailsa Craig E-potato 3 Da Fugui	Pseudoperonosporacubensis Cucumber mosaic virus P.syringae py. tomato DC3000 P.infestans Alternariatenuissima	CsWRKY50 (Cucumber) AtWRKY30( <u>Tha</u> lecress) StWRKY8 (Tomato) StWRKY2 (Potato) <i>Pt</i> WRKY65 (Chinese peony)	Overexpression       lines       showed         enhanced resistance with less ROS         accumulationand       higher expression         levels of antioxidant enzymes.         Overexpression       lines         showed         enhanced       resistance         whereas         silencing       lines         showed       enhanced         susceptibility       Overexpression         Overexpression       lines         showed       enhanced         enhanced resistance to the pathogen         with enhanced PR1a1 and PR7levels         Overexpression       lead to enhanced         resistance in the potato through the         induction of PR proteins         Silencing       lines         showed       enhanced	Luan et al. 2019 Zouet al. 2019 Gaoet al. 2020 Shahzadet al. 2020 Wang et al. 2020

of WRKY transcription factors that have been reported to control key processes in plants including resistance to biotic stresses (**Figure 1, 2**). Due to the dedicated scientific research more than two decades, the connection of WRKY TFs with plant defense and immunity has been established. Interestingly, it has already been highlighted that WRKY TFs are responsive in many stresses and their modification or manipulation increased the plant tolerance towards specific stresses.

The data in the table also highlights the importance of characterization of the gene in one crop (source) as the same gene can be expressed in acceptor crop for enhancing resistance. For more details, the readers are encouraged to go through the references.

Furthermore, multiple transcriptome studies of treated or challenged samples have provided a list of putative candidate genes in many crop species that will be considered for ensuring food security. **Table 2. Descriptive case studies showing importance of the member of WRKY TF family** 

Disease	Pathogen	Plant species	Approach	WRKY gene manipulated	Result	Inference	Referenc
							es
Bacterial	Xanthomonas	Oryza sativa	Differential	OsWRKY7, OsWRKY10,	Upregulat	Role in plant defense	Ryuet al.
diseases	oryzae py. or		expression	OsWRKY11, OsWRKY30,	ed		2006
	yzae		analysis after	OsWRKY32, OsWRKY67,			
			infection	OsWRKY70,			
				OsWRKY83 and OsWRKY85			
	Pseudomonas	Solanumlyco	Genome wide	S/WRKY8,S/WRKY23,	Upregulat	Role in plant defense	Huang et
	svringae	persicum	analysis	SIWRKY39, SIWRKY53,	ed	from pathogen	al. 2012
				S/WRKY80 and S/WRKY81			
	Botrytis			S/WRKY23, S/WRKY53,	Upregulat		
	cinerea.			SIWRKY33, SIWRKY41,	ed		
				S/WRKY31, S/WRKY8and			
				S/WRKY39			
	Pseudomonas	Zea	Comparative	WRKY25, WRKY33,	Upregulat	Upregulation of	Wu et al.
	syringaepv.	mays(Two	transcriptome	WRKY5, WRKY62	ed	WRKY genes in	2015
	syringae	near-isogenic	profiling	and WRKY71		resistant line few	
		lines (NILs)				days after planting	
		(Resistant (R)				suggest that defense	
		and	,		,	system shows	í í
		Susceptible				stronger or faster	
		(S))				reaction in resistant	
						line to the pathogen	
		Medicago	Comparative	20 WRKYs	Upregulat	Instant high	Nemchin
		sativa	transcriptome		ed	induction of	ovet al.
		(Maverick	profiling			tracscription factors	2017
		(S) and				having WRKY	
		ZG9830(R))				domain shows	
						regulation of genes	
						involved in plant	
						defense	
1	Pectobacteri	Brassica	EMS mutant	BrWRKY33 and BrWRKY25	Upregulat	Putative role in plant	Liu et al.
0	umcarotovor	rapassp. Peki	inoculation with		ed	regulation for	2019
	um ssp. carot	nensis	Pcc and then			defense	
	ovorum (Pcc)	5	transcriptome				

			analysis		р — Л.		
Fungal	Phytophthora	Solanumtube	Suppression	S1-9D (WRKY-box	Upregulat	WRKY like	Beyer et
diseases	infestans	rosum	Subtractive	transcription factor-like)	ed	transcription factor	al. 2001
			Hybridization			get induced	
			(SSH) applied in			confirming the role	
			a			in post infection	
			search for genes				
			induced during				
			the compatible				
			interaction				
			between				
			P.infestans				
			and potato.				
	Magnaporthe	O. sativa	Comparative	OsAM205 encodes a WRKY	Upregulat	Induction of TFs is	Guimiet
	grisea	(Variety	whole	TF	ed	the general defense	al. 2005
		Nipponbare)	transcriptome			mechanism to cope	
			analysis between			with the colonization	
			<u>Moryzae</u> and			of root by the	
			arbuscularmycor			respective fungus	
			rhiza colonized				
			roots				
	M.	O. sativa	Comparative	OsWRKY7, OsWRKY10,	Upregulat	The induction of	Ryuet al.
	grisea(Philip		whole	OsWRKY11, OsWRKY30,	ed in	gene within 6-12	2006
	pines isolate;		transcriptome	OsWRKY45, OsWRKY62,	resistant	hrof infection	
	PO6-6)		analysis between	OsWRKY76, OsWRKY82	line	highlight their role in	
			resistant and	and OsWRKY85		defense against	
			susceptible lines			fungal pathogen	
	Sclerotiniascl	Brassicanapu	Genome	10BnWRKY	10	Role in defense	Yang et
	erotioru,	ŝ	characterization	TFsupregulated(BnWRKY6,	<u>BnWRKY</u>	response	al. 2009
	Alternariabra		through the	25, 28, 33, 40, 45, 53,65,	TFs were		
	ssicae		generation of	69and75)	upregulate		
50			ESTs	andBnWRKY20,BnWRKY32	d and 2		

				TFs were downregulated	BnWRKY	8	
					TFs were		
					downregul		
					ated		
	Alternariaalt	Malusdomest	Genome-wide	MdWRKYTFs differential	Some	Role in defense	Luiet al.
	ernate (Apple	ica	Exploration of	profile	were	against pathogen like	2017;
	pathotype)		MdWRKYgenes		upregulate	MdWRKYN1 and $M$	Zhang et
			and their		d and few	dWRKY26 when	al. 2017
			phylogenetic		were	targeted by <u>RNAias</u>	
			analysis		downregul	it impart resistance	
					ated	to the A. alternata	
	Moniliophtho	Theobroma	In-silico and	TcWRKY (Tc04g016130,	Upregulat	There were many	de
	raperniciosa	cacao	phylogenetic	Tc10g016570, Tc09g001530,	ed and	WRKY TFs showing	Almeida
		(TSH1188	analysis of	Tc06t004420, Tc06t013130,	downregu	role in plant defense	et al.
		(R) and	<u>TcWRKY</u>	<i>Tc</i> 01t014750 and	ulated		2017
		Catongo (S))	proteins	Tc01t018460)			
	r usariumoxy	J.	Genome-wide	SOLYWKK I 4, SOLYWKK I 55,	Opreguiati	Kole in derense	Amir et
	r usariumoxy sporum f. sp.	s. lvcopersicum	Genome-wide	solywkk 14, solywkk 155, and SolyWRKY37	on	Kolein derense response	Amir et al. 2018
	r usariumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic	з. <u>lvcopersicum</u>	computational analysis post	solywkk 14, solywkk 155, and SolyWRKY37	on	Kole in derense response	Amir et al. 2018
	Fusarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol)	s. lycopersicum	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with	зоңуwкк 14, зоңуwкк 135, and SolyWRKY37	on	Kole in derense response	Amir et al. 2018
	r usarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol)	s. bropersicum	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with <u>Fol</u>	зоџуwкк 14, зоџуwкк 135, and SolyWRKY37	on	Kole in derense response	Amir et al. 2018
	r usariumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora	s. <u>lvcopersicum</u> Glycine max	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative	SofywKK 14, SofywKK 155, and SofyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66,	opreguiati on	Kole in derense response Differentially	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et
	r usarumoxy sporum f. sp. bycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	s. hrcopersicum Glycine max (SDM-high	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana	SolywKK 14, SolywKK 155, and SolyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180	on 11 <i>GmWRK</i>	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	r usarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	3. <u>becopersicum</u> Glycine max (SDM-high resistant	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SolywKK 14, SolywKK 155, and SolyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and17 profile showed changes	Opreguiati on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> X were	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	e usariumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	5. <u>bycopersicum</u> Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SofyWKK 14, SofyWKK 135, and SofyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes	Opreguiati on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> <u>Y</u> were upregulate	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	c usarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	3. <u>brcopersicum</u> Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and SDM-high	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	Зођу WKK 14, Зођу WKK 133, and Soђ WRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes	Opreguian on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> X were upregulate d and	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant defense	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	c usarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	3. hecopersicum Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and SDM-high susceptible	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SofyWKK 14, SofyWKK 135, and SofyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes	opreguiati on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> <u>Y</u> were upregulate d and GmWRK	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant defense	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	r.usarumoxy sporum f. sp. hcopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	5. <u>bycopersicum</u> Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and SDM-high susceptible (HS)	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SofyWKK 14, SofyWKK 135, and SofyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes	Opreguian on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> <u>Y</u> were upregulate d and GmWRK Y175 was	Kole in defense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant defense	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	e usarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	3. bycopersicum Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and SDM-high susceptible (HS) genotypes)	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Eol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SofyWKK 14, SofyWKK 135, and SofyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes	Opregulation on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> <u>Y</u> were upregulate d and GmWRK Y175 was downregul	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant defense	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
	cusarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	5. brcopersicum Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and SDM-high susceptible (HS) genotypes)	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SofyWKK 14, SofyWKK 135, and SofyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes	Opregulati on 11 <u>GmWRK</u> <u>Y</u> were upregulate d and GmWRK Y175 was downregul ated	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant defense	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019
Viral	r usarumoxy sporum f. sp. lycopersic (Fol) Peronospora manshurica	5. brcopersicum Glycine max (SDM-high resistant (HR) and SDM-high susceptible (HS) genotypes) A. thaliana	Genome-wide computational analysis post infection with Fol Comparative transcriptomeana lysis	SOLYWKK I 4, SOLYWKK I 55, and SolyWRKY37 GmWRKY2, 26, 36, 37, 66, 73, 82, 83, 114, 166, 180 and 17 profile showed changes WRKY TF	Opregulati on 11 GmWRK X were upregulate d and GmWRK Y175 was downregul ated Upregulati	Kole in derense response Differentially expressed WRKY genes shows their putative role in plant defense WRKY domain	Amir et al. 2018 Dong et al. 2019 Babuet

	2	Col-0)	infection with		С.	are getting	-
			PPV			upregulated and	
						providing resistance	
						providing reasonance	
						against the virus	
	Pepper	<i>S</i> .	Genome wide	Homolog of <u>AtWRKY</u> 22	Upregulat	Over expression of	Alfenas-
	yellow	lycopersicum	analysis		ed	the gene possibly	Zerbiniet
	mosaic virus	(VarietyMon				providing plant a	al. 2009
	(PepYMV);	ey maker)				defense line to curb	
	Potyvirus					or survive the	
	985 					infection	
	Succession	Incuran	Clobal game	WDVVTE	Unnantati	WRVV domain	Magaong
	Sweet potato	тротова	Global gene	WKKI IF	Opregulati	WKK I domain	wicgeorg
	virus disease	batatas	expression after		on	containing protein	eet al.
	(SPVD)	Lines	infection with			are getting	2009
		(NASPOT1	SPVD			upregulated and	
		(R) and				providing resistance	
		Beauregard				against the virus	
1		(0))		j	0 (A		1
	Tomato	<i>S</i> .	Global gene	16 WRKY TF (shoot;	15	Positively regulated	Catoniet
	spotted wilt	lycopersicum	expression from	including homolog of WRKY	upregulate	WRKY proteins can	al. 2009
:	virus (TSWV)		root and shoot	6 and 7 (eg: <u>S/WRKY</u> 75,	d and 1	be overexpressed in	
			tissues	SIWRKY46, SIWRKY73,	downregul	the plant for	
				SIWRKY2)	ated	defensive response	
				<i>SI</i> WRKY2)	ated (shoot)	defensive response and negatively	
				<i>SI</i> WRKY2)	ated (shoot) and all	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY	
				<i>SI</i> WRKY2)	ated (shoot) and all upregulate	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be	
				<i>SI</i> WRKY2)	ated (shoot) and all upregulate d (root)	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be downregulated for	
				<i>SI</i> WRKY2)	ated (shoot) and all upregulate d (root)	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be downregulated for the same	
	Rice dwarf	O. satīva	ComparitiveTran	SIWRKY2) OsWRKY1, OsWRKY5,	ated (shoot) and all upregulate d (root) Upregulat	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be downregulated for the same Over expression	Satoh et
	Rice dwarf virus (RDV)	0. satīva	ComparitiveTran scriptome	SIWRKY2) OsWRKY1, OsWRKY5, OsWRKY9, OsWRKY28,	ated (shoot) and all upregulate d (root) Upregulat ed	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be downregulated for the same Over expression reduces	Satoh et al. 2011
	Rice dwarf virus (RDV)	O. sativa	ComparitiveTran scriptome analysis	SIWRKY2) OsWRKY1, OsWRKY5, OsWRKY9, OsWRKY28, OsWRKY29 and OsWRKY45	ated (shoot) and all upregulate d (root) Upregulat ed	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be downregulated for the same Over expression reduces susceptibility of the	Satoh et al. 2011
	Rice dwarf virus (RDV)	O. sativa	ComparitiveTran scriptome analysis	SIWRKY2) OsWRKY1, OsWRKY5, OsWRKY9, OsWRKY28, OsWRKY29 and OsWRKY45	ated (shoot) and all upregulate d (root) Upregulat ed	defensive response and negatively regulated WRKY protein can be downregulated for the same Over expression reduces susceptibility of the plant	Satoh et al. 2011

	yellow leaf	lycopersicum	expression after		genes	possible candidate	al. 2013
	curl virus	(Lines	infection with		downregul	for identification and	
	(TYLCV)	CLN2777A	TYLCV		ated (S)	characterization of	
		(R) &			and 7	new gene	
		TMXA48-4-			upregulate		
		0 (S))			d (R)		
3	Tomato	S.	Subcellular	WRKY41, WRKY42,	Upregulat	Role in plant defense	Huang et
	yellow leaf	lycopersicum	localization	WRKY53, WRKY54,	ed		al. 2016
	curl virus		analysis	WRKY80, and WRKY81			
	(TYLCV)						
e.	Papaya	Carica	gRT-PCR of	TF12.199, TF807.3, TF21.156	Upregulat	Over expression	Pan et al.
	ringspot virus	papaya	stressed samples	and TF18.51	ed	reduces	2014
	(PRSV)					susceptibility of the	
						plant	
2	Ugandan	Manihotescul	Time-course	WRKY	Upregulat	Role in plant defense	Amugeet
	cassava	entus (Lines	analysis of	00001400004944	ed		al. 2017
	orown streak	INamikonga(	transcriptome		6		
	virus	R) and Albert	analysis				
	(UCBSV)	(S))					
	Cucumber	Citrulluslana	RNASeq	WRKY13 WRKY31	Upregulat	Plant defense	Li et al.
	green mottle	tus		WRKY46 WRKY48	ed		2017
	mosoia			WEVV52 and WEVV70	cu		2017
	mosaic			WKK135, and WKK170			
	VIPUS (COM						
	MV)						
	Soybean	G. max	Transcriptome	WRKY genes	Upregulat	Plant defense	Zhang et
-	mosaic virus	-	analysis	(WRKY33 and WRKY62)	ed		al. 2019
	Rice tungro	O. sativa	ComparitiveTran	LOC_Os05g25770,LOC_Os08	Upregulat	Over expression	Kumar
	bacilliform		scriptome	g38990, LOC_Os09g25060,	ed	reduces	and
	virus		analysis	and LOC_Os11g02520		susceptibility of the	Dasgupta
						plant	2020

The members of the WRKY TF family expressed under specific stress condition are putative candidates that can be tested for gaining resistance against plant microbial pathogens

In this regard, the science of molecular breeding has the potential to accelerate process of resistant

line development for sustainable agricultural practices. To accelerate the research and to screen the putative candidates, CRISPR genome editing can be put to use, as there are many negative regulators of the WRKY family that can be downregulated for achieving resistance in the plant.

### Acknowledgements

All the authors wish to thank DrBaljinder Singh (NIPGR, New Delhi, India) for critical reading of the manuscript. S.G. wants to acknowledge the administration of Hans Raj College and Principal Dr Rama specially. Furthermore, all the authors together acknowledge the peer reviewers in advance.

### Declarations

J.B. and S.M. acknowledges the University Grants Commission (UGC) and Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR) for awarding a Senior Research Fellowship respectively.

The authors declare no conflict of interests. **References** 

- Abeysinghe, J.K., Lam, K.M., & Ng, D.W.K. (2019). Differential regulation and interaction of homoeologous WRKY 18 and WRKY 40 in Arabidopsis allotetraploids and biotic stress responses. *The Plant Journal*, 97(2), 352-367.
- 2. Alfenas-Zerbini, P., Maia, I.G., Fávaro, R.D., Cascardo, J.C., Brommonschenkel, S.H., & Zerbini, F.M. (2009). Genome-wide analysis of differentially expressed genes during the early stages of tomato infection by a potyvirus. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*, 22(3), 352-361.
- 3. Amuge, T., Berger, D.K., Katari, M.S., Myburg, A.A., Goldman, S.L., & Ferguson, M.E. (2017). A time series transcriptome analysis of cassava (Manihotesculenta Crantz) varieties challenged with Ugandan cassava brown streak virus. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 1-21.
- Babu, M., Griffiths, J.S., Huang, T.S., & Wang, A. (2008). Altered gene expression changes in Arabidopsis leaf tissues and protoplasts in response to Plum pox virus infection. *BMC genomics*, 9(1), 325.
- Beyer, K., Binder, A., Boller, T., & Collinge, M. (2001). Identification of potato genes induced during colonization by Phytophthora infestans. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 2(3), 125-134.
- Catoni, M., Miozzi, L., Fiorilli, V., Lanfranco, L., & Accotto, G.P. (2009). Comparative analysis of expression profiles in shoots and roots of tomato systemically infected by Tomato spotted wilt virus reveals organ-specific transcriptional responses. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*, 22(12), 1504-1513.

- Chen, L., Zhang, L., & Yu, D. (2010). Woundinginduced WRKY8 is involved in basal defense in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 23(5), 558-565.
- Chen, L., Zhang, L., Li, D., Wang, F., & Yu, D. (2013). WRKY8 transcription factor functions in the TMV-cg defense response by mediating both abscisic acid and ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(21), E1963-E1971.
- 9. Chen, X., Li, C., Wang, H., & Guo, Z. (2019). WRKY transcription factors: Evolution, binding, and action. *Phytopathology Research*, 1(1), 13.
- Cui, X., Yan, Q., Gan, S., Xue, D., Wang, H., Xing, H., Zhao, J., & Guo, N. (2019). Gm WRKY40, a member of the WRKY transcription factor genes identified from Glycine max L., enhanced the resistance to Phytophthorasojae. *BMC Plant Biology*, 19(1), 1-15.
- 11. Dang, F., Wang, Y., She, J., Lei, Y., Liu, Z., Eulgem, T., Lai, Y., Lin, J., Yu, L., Lei, D., & Guan, D. (2014). Overexpression of CaWRKY27, a subgroup IIe WRKY transcription factor of Capsicum annuum, positively regulates tobacco resistance to Ralstoniasolanacearum infection. *Physiologiaplantarum*, 150(3), 397-411.
- Dang, F.F., Wang, Y.N., Yu, L.U., Eulgem, T., Lai, Y.A.N., Liu, Z.Q., Wang, X.U., Qiu, A.L., Zhang, T.X., Lin, J.,& Chen, Y.S. (2013). CaWRKY40, a WRKY protein of pepper, plays an important role in the regulation of tolerance to heat stress and resistance to Ralstoniasolanacearum infection. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 36(4), 757-774.
- 13. Dardick, C. (2007). Comparative expression profiling of Nicotianabenthamiana leaves systemically infected with three fruit tree viruses. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*, 20(8), 1004-1017.
- 14. de Almeida, D.S.M., Do Amaral, D.O.J., Del-Bem, L.E., dos Santos, E.B., Silva, R.J.S., Gramacho, K.P., Vincentz, M., & Micheli, F. (2017). Genome-wide identification and characterization of cacao WRKY transcription factors and analysis of their expression in response to witches' broom disease. *PloS one*, 12(10).
- Dellagi, A., Heilbronn, J., Avrova, A.O., Montesano, M., Palva, E.T., Stewart, H.E., Toth, I.K., Cooke, D.E., Lyon, G.D., & Birch, P.R.

(2000). A potato gene encoding a WRKY-like transcription factor is induced in interactions with Erwiniacarotovora subsp. atroseptica and Phytophthorainfestans and is coregulated with class I endochitinase expression. *Molecular plantmicrobe interactions*, 13(10), 1092-1101.

- 16. Dong, H., Tan, J., Li, M., Yu, Y., Jia, S., Zhang, C., Wu, Y., & Liu, Y. (2019). Transcriptome analysis of soybean WRKY TFs in response to Peronosporamanshurica infection. *Genomics*, 111(6), 1412-1422.
- 17. Eulgem, T., Rushton, P.J., Robatzek, S., & Somssich, I.E. (2000). The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends in plant science*, 5(5), 199-206.
- 18. Gao, Y.F., Liu, J.K., Yang, F.M., Zhang, G.Y., Wang, D., Zhang, L., Ou, Y.B., & Yao, Y.A. (2020). The WRKY transcription factor WRKY8 promotes resistance to pathogen infection and mediates drought and salt stress tolerance in Solanumlycopersicum. *Physiologiaplantarum*, 168(1), 98-117.
- Güimil, S., Chang, H.S., Zhu, T., Sesma, A., Osbourn, A., Roux, C., Ioannidis, V., Oakeley, E.J., Docquier, M., Descombes, P., & Briggs, S.P. (2005). Comparative transcriptomics of rice reveals an ancient pattern of response to microbial colonization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(22), 8066-8070.
- 20. Guo, R., Qiao, H., Zhao, J., Wang, X., Tu, M., Guo, C., Wan, R., Li, Z., & Wang, X. (2018). The grape VIWRKY3 gene promotes abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 545.
- 21. Guo, R., Yu, F., Gao, Z., An, H., Cao, X., &Guo, X. (2011). GhWRKY3, a novel cotton (Gossypiumhirsutum L.) WRKY gene, is involved in diverse stress responses. *Molecular biology reports*, 38(1), 49-58.
- 22. Huang, Y., Li, M.Y., Wu, P., Xu, Z.S., Que, F., Wang, F., & Xiong, A.S. (2016). Members of WRKY Group III transcription factors are important in TYLCV defense signaling pathway in tomato (Solanumlycopersicum). BMC genomics, 17(1), 788.
- 23. Huang, S., Gao, Y., Liu, J., Peng, X., Niu, X., Fei, Z., Ca, S., & Liu, Y. (2012). Genome-wide analysis of WRKY transcription factors in *Solanumlycopersicum*. Molecular Genetics and Genomics,

287, 495–513.

- 24. Hwang, S.H., Kwon, S.I., Jang, J.Y., Fang, I.L., Lee, H., Choi, C., Park, S., Ahn, I., Bae, S.C., & Hwang, D.J. (2016). OsWRKY51, a rice transcription factor, functions as a positive regulator in defense response against Xanthom onasoryzaepv.oryzae. *Plant cell reports*, 35(9), 1975-1985.
- 25. Ishiguro, S., & Nakamura, K. (1994). Characterization of a cDNA encoding a novel DNA-binding protein, SPF1, that recognizes SP8 sequences in the 52 upstream regions of genes coding for sporamin and  $\beta$ -amylase from sweet potato. *Molecular and General Genetics MGG*, 244(6), 563-571.
- 26. Jiang, M., Liu, Q.E., Liu, Z.N., Li, J.Z., & He, C.M. (2016). Over-expression of a WRKY transcription factor gene BoWRKY6 enhances resistance to downy mildew in transgenic broccoli plants. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 45(3), 327-334.
- 27. Jiang, Y., Guo, L., Ma, X., Zhao, X., Jiao, B., Li, C., &Luo, K. (2017). The WRKY transcription factors PtrWRKY18 and PtrWRKY35 promote Melampsora resistance in Populus. *Tree physiology*, 37(5), 665-675.
- 28. Kalde, M., Barth, M., Somssich, I.E., & Lippok, B. (2003). Members of the Arabidopsis WRKY group III transcription factors are part of different plant defense signaling pathways. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 16(4), 295-305.
- 29. Kim, C.Y., Lee, S.H., Park, H.C., Bae, C.G., Cheong, Y.H., Choi, Y.J., Han, C.D., Lee, S.Y., Lim, C.O & Cho, M.J. (2000). Identification of rice blast fungal elicitor-responsive genes by differential display analysis. *Molecular plantmicrobe interactions*, 13(4), 470-474.
- 30. Kuki, Y., Ohno, R., Yoshida, K., & Takumi, S. (2020). Heterologous expression of wheat WRKY transcription factor genes transcriptionally activated in hybrid necrosis strains alters abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.02.029.
- 31. Kumar, G., &Dasgupta, I. (2020). Comprehensive molecular insights into the stress response dynamics of rice (Oryza sativa L.) during rice tungro disease by RNA-seq-based comparative whole transcriptome analysis. *Journal of*

**47** | हंस शोध सुधा | HANS SHODH SUDHA *Biosciences*, 45(1), 27. Li, C., Li, D., Shao F., Lu, S. (2015). Molecular cloning and expression analysis of WRKY transcription factor genes in *Salvia militiorrhiza*. BMC Genomics 34, 533-537.

- 32. Li, X., An, M., Xia, Z., Bai, X., & Wu, Y. (2017). Transcriptome analysis of watermelon (Citrulluslanatus) fruits in response to Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus (CGMMV) infection. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 1-12.
- 33. Liu, F., Li, X., Wang, M., Wen, J., Yi, B., Shen, J., Ma, C., Fu, T., &Tu, J. (2018). Interactions of WRKY 15 and WRKY 33 transcription factors and their roles in the resistance of oilseed rape to Sclerotinia infection. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 16(4), 911-925.
- 34. Liu, M., Wu, F., Wang, S., Lu, Y., Chen, X., Wang, Y., Gu, A., Zhao, J., &Shen, S. (2019). Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals defense responses against soft rot in Chinese cabbage. *Horticulture research*, 6(1), 1-18.
- 35. Liu, Q., Li, X., Yan, S., Yu, T., Yang, J., Dong, J., Zhang, S., Zhao, J., Yang, T., Mao, X., & Zhu, X. (2018). OsWRKY67 positively regulates blast and bacteria blight resistance by direct activation of PR genes in rice. *BMC plant biology*, 18(1), 1-13.
- Luan, Q., Chen, C., Liu, M., Li, Q., Wang, L., &Ren, Z. (2019). CsWRKY50 mediates defense responses to Pseudoperonosporacubensis infection in Cucumissativus. *Plant Science*, 279, 59-69.
- 37. Lui, S., Luo, C., Zhu, L., Sha, R., Qu, S., Cai, B., & Wang, S. (2017). Identification and expression analysis of WRKY transcription factor genes in response to fungal pathogen and hormone treatments in apple (Malusdomestica). *Journal of Plant Biology*, 60(2), 215-230.
- Madhusudhan, P., Sinha, P., Rajput, L.S., Bhattacharya, M., Sharma, T., Bhuvaneshwari, V., Gaikwad, K., Krishnan, S.G., & Singh, A.K. (2019). Effect of temperature on Pi54-mediated leaf blast resistance in rice. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 35(10), 148.
- Madroñero, J., Rodrigues, S.P., Antunes, T.F.S., Abreu, P.M.V., Ventura, J.A., Fernandes, A.A.R., & Fernandes, P.M.B. (2018). Transcriptome analysis provides insights into the delayed sticky disease symptoms in Carica papaya. *Plant Cell Rep.* 37(7), 967-980.
- 40. McGregor, C.E., Miano, D.W., LaBonte, D.R.,

Hoy, M., Clark, C.A., & Rosa, G.J. (2009). Differential gene expression of resistant and susceptible sweetpotato plants after infection with the causal agents of sweet potato virus disease. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 134(6), 658-666.

- 41. Mittal, S., Banduni, P., Mallikarjuna, M.G., Rao, A.R., Jain, P.A., Dash, P.K.,&Thirunavukkarasu, N. (2018). Structural, functional, and evolutionary characterization of major drought transcription factors families in maize. *Frontiers in chemistry*, 6, 177.
- 42. Mohanta, T.K., Park, Y.H., &Bae, H. (2016). Novel genomic and evolutionary insight of WRKY transcription factors in plant lineage. *Scientific reports*, 6(1), 1-22.
- 43. Nemchinov, L.G, Shao, J., Lee, M.N., Postnikova, O.A.,&Samac, D.A. (2017). Resistant and susceptible responses in alfalfa (Medicago sativa) to bacterial stem blight caused by Pseudomonas syringaepv. syringae. *PloS one*, 12(12).
- 44. Pan, L.J., & Jiang, L. (2014). Identification and expression of the WRKY transcription factors of Carica papaya in response to abiotic and biotic stresses. *Molecular biology reports*, 41(3), 1215-1225.
- 45. Pajerowska-Mukhtar, K.M., Emerine, D.K., &Mukhtar, M.S. (2013). Tell me more: roles of NPRs in plant immunity. *Trends in plant science*, 18(7), 402-411.
- 46. Park, C.J., Shin, Y.C., Lee, B.J., Kim, K.J., Kim, J.K., &Paek, K.H. (2006). A hot pepper gene encoding WRKY transcription factor is induced during hypersensitive response to Tobacco mosaic virus and Xanthomonascampestris. *Planta*, 223(2), 168-179.
- 47. Peng, X., Hu, Y., Tang, X., Zhou, P., Deng, X., Wang, H., & Guo, Z. (2012). Constitutive expression of rice WRKY30 gene increases the endogenous jasmonic acid accumulation, PR gene expression and resistance to fungal pathogens in rice. *Planta*, 236(5), 1485-1498.
- 48. Robatzek, S.,&Somssich, I.E. (2002). Targets of AtWRKY6 regulation during plant senescence and pathogen defense. *Genes & development*, 16(9), 1139-1149.
- 49. Rushton, P.J., Torres, J.T., Parniske, M., Wernert, P., Hahlbrock, K., &Somssich, I.E. (1996). Interaction of elicitor induced DNA binding

proteins with elicitor response elements in the promoters of parsley PR1 genes. *The EMBO journal*, 15(20), 5690-5700.

- 50. Ryu, H.S., Han, M., Lee, S.K., Cho, J.I., Ryoo, N., Heu, S., Lee, Y.H., Bhoo, S.H., Wang, G.L., Hahn, T.R., &Jeon, J.S. (2006). A comprehensive expression analysis of the WRKY gene superfamily in rice plants during defense response. *Plant cell reports*, 25(8), 836-847.
- 51. Satoh, K., Shimizu, T., Kondoh, H., Hiraguri, A., Sasaya, T., Choi, I.R., Omura, T., & Kikuchi, S. (2011). Relationship between symptoms and gene expression induced by the infection of three strains of Rice dwarf virus. *PLoS One*, 6(3).
- 52. Satoh, K., Yoneyama, K., Kondoh, H., Shimizu, T., Sasaya, T., Choi, I.L., Yoneyama, K., Omura, T., & Kikuchi, S. (2013). Relationship between gene responses and symptoms induced by Rice grassy stunt virus. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 4, 313.
- 53. Shahzad, R., Ewas, M., Harlina, P.W., Nishawy, E., Ayaad, M., Manan, A., Maher, M.,&Khames, E. (2020). Multiple Stress Responsive WRKY Transcription Factor, StWRKY2, Enhances Drought and Late Blight Resistance in Transgenic Potato.*International journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 24, 154 164.
- 54. Shahzad, R., Harlina, P.W., Cong hua, X., Ewas, M., Nishawy, E., Zhenyuan, P.,&Foly, M.M. (2016). Overexpression of potato transcription factor (StWRKY1) conferred resistance to Phytophthora infestans and improved tolerance to water stress. *Plant Omics*, 9(2), 149.
- 55. Shimono, M., Sugano, S., Nakayama, A., Jiang, C.J., Ono, K., Toki, S.,&Takatsuji, H. (2007). Rice WRKY45 plays a crucial role in benzothiadiazoleinducible blast resistance. *The Plant Cell*, 19(6), 2064-2076.
- The American Phytopathological Society(APS) (2020). https://www.apsnet.org/Pages/default. aspx. Accessed 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2020.
- 57. Wang, G., Chen, C., Li, J., Pu, L., Guan, R., Ge, F., & Liu, D. (2016). Overexpression of a WRKY Transcription Factor Gene from Juglanssigillata Dode Confers Resistance to Colletotrichumgloeo sporioides in Transgenic Tobacco Plants. *ActaAgriculturaeBoreali-Sinica*, (3), 26.
- 58. Wang, J., Tao, F., Tian, W., Guo, Z., Chen, X., Xu, X., Shang, H., & Hu, X. (2017). The wheat WRKY

transcription factors Ta WRKY49 and Ta WRKY62 confer differential high-temperature seedling-plant resistance to Pucciniastriiformis f. sp. tritici. *PloS one*, 12(7).

- 59. Wang, X., Guo, R., Tu, M., Wang, D., Guo, C., Wan, R., Li, Z., & Wang, X. (2017). Ectopic expression of the wild grape WRKY transcription factor VqWRKY52 in Arabidopsis thaliana enhances resistance to the biotrophic pathogen powdery mildew but not to the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis cinerea. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8, 97.
- 60. Wang, X., Li, J., Guo, J., Qiao, Q., Guo, X., & Ma, Y. (2020). The WRKY transcription factor PIWRKY65 enhances the resistance of Paeonialactiflora (herbaceous peony) to Alternariatenuissima. *Horticulture Research*, 7(1), 1-12.
- 61. Xiong, X., Sun, S., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Sun, J.,&Xue, F. (2019). The cotton WRKY transcription factor GhWRKY70 negatively regulates the defense response against Verticilliumdahliae. *The Crop Journal*, 7(3), 393-402.
- 62. Yamasaki, K., Kigawa, T., Seki, M., Shinozaki, K., & Yokoyama, S. (2013). DNA-binding domains of plant-specific transcription factors: structure, function, and evolution. *Trends in plant science*, 18(5), 267-276.
- 63. Yamasaki, K., Kigawa, T., Watanabe, S., Inoue, M., Yamasaki, T., Seki, M., Shinozaki, K., & Yokoyama, S. (2012). Structural basis for sequence-specific DNA recognition by an Arabidopsis WRKY transcription factor. *Journal* of Biological Chemistry, 287(10), 7683-7691.
- 64. Yang, B., Rahman, M.H., Deyholos, M.K., Kav, N.N., & Jiang, Y. (2009). Identification and expression analysis of WRKY transcription factor genes in Brassica napus (canola) in response to fungal pathogens and hormone treatments.*BMC plant biology*, 9(1), 68.
- 65. Yoda, H., Ogawa, M., Yamaguchi, Y., Koizumi, N., Kusano, T., & Sano, H. (2002). Identification of early-responsive genes associated with the hypersensitive response to tobacco mosaic virus and characterization of a WRKY-type transcription factor in tobacco plants. *Molecular genetics and genomics*, 267(2), 154-161.
- 66. Yokotani, N., Sato, Y., Tanabe, S., Chujo, T., Shimizu, T., Okada, K., Yamane, H., Shimono, M.,

49 | हंस शोध सुधा | HANS SHODH SUDHA Sugano, S., Takatsuji, H., &Kaku, H. (2013). WRKY76 is a rice transcriptional repressor playing opposite roles in blast disease resistance and cold stress tolerance. *Journal of experimental botany*, 64(16), 5085-5097.

- 67. Yu, F., Huaxia, Y., Lu, W., Wu, C., Cao, X., &Guo, X. (2012). GhWRKY15, a member of the WRKY transcription factor family identified from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), is involved in disease resistance and plant development. *BMC plant biology*, 12(1), 144.
- 68. Zhang, Y., & Wang, L. (2005). The WRKY transcription factor superfamily: its origin in eukaryotes and expansion in plants. *BMC evolutionary biology*, 5(1), 1.
- 69. Zhang, C., Grosic, S., Whitham, S.A., & Hill, J.H. (2012). The requirement of multiple defense genes in soybean Rsv1–mediated extreme resistance to Soybean mosaic virus. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 25(10), 1307-1313.
- 70. Zhang, J., Peng, Y., &Guo, Z. (2008). Constitutive expression of pathogen-inducible OsWRKY31 enhances disease resistance and affects root growth and auxin response in transgenic rice plants. *Cell Research*, 18(4), 508-521.
- 71. Zhang, L., Shang, J., Wang, W., Du, J., Li, K.,

Wu, X., Yu, L., Liu, C., Khaskheli, M.I., & Yang, W. (2019). Comparison of Transcriptome Differences in Soybean Response to Soybean Mosaic Virus under Normal Light and in the Shade. *Viruses*, 11(9), 793.

- 72. Zhang, Q., Li, Y., Zhang, Y., Wu, C., Wang, S., Hao, L., Wang, S., & Li, T. (2017). Md-miR156ab and Md-miR395 target WRKY transcription factors to influence apple resistance to leaf spot disease. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8, 526.
- 73. Zhang, Y., Yao, J.L., Feng, H., Jiang, J., Fan, X., Jia, Y.F., Wang, R., & Liu, C. (2019). Identification of the defense-related gene VdWRKY53 from the wild grapevine Vitisdavidii using RNA sequencing and ectopic expression analysis in Arabidopsis. *Hereditas*, 156(1), 14.
- 74. Zheng, Z., Mosher, S.L., Fan, B., Klessig, D.F., & Chen, Z. (2007). Functional analysis of Arabidopsis WRKY25 transcription factor in plant defense against Pseudomonas syringae. *BMC plant Biology*, 7(1), 2.
- 75. Zou, L., Yang, F., Ma, Y., Wu, Q., Yi, K., & Zhang, D. (2019). Transcription factor WRKY30 mediates resistance to Cucumber mosaic virus in Arabidopsis. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 517(1), 118-124.